

**Matt Wilkening** 

To: Dave Tomten/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

cc:

05/02/2005 08:14 AM

Subject: Re: low Se detection limits

Dave,

We were on the same wavelength last week. Attached is Gina's response to my question about the method

Matt Wilkening

Phone: 208/378-5760 Fax: 208/378-5744

email: wilkening.matt@epa.gov

---- Forwarded by Matt Wilkening/R10/USEPA/US on 05/02/2005 08:13 AM -----

(3)

Gina Grepo-Grove

To: Matt Wilkening/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

cc:

04/29/2005 03:44 PM

Subject: Re: low Se detection limits

HI, Matt,

I just got back from Spokane today. Anyway, the method you are asking is a hydride generation spectroscopy which is highly recommended for brackish or sea water samples. We have not actually used that method for Se, but we have used a variation of this method for ultra low As. Frontier Geoscience is a very good lab. We have used this lab for Portland Harbor, Lower duwamish - mostly for ultra low arsenic or methylmercury or speciated arsenic. My only concern with the lab's data is that they usually blank correct the data US Forest service can always specify in their contract with FGS not to blank correct the data.

Ginna Grepo-Grove (206) 553-1632 Grepo-Grove.Gina@EPA.GOV Matt Wilkening



Matt Wilkening

To: Gina Grepo-Grove/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

CC

04/27/2005 09:01 AM

Subject: low Se detection limits

Gina,

My counterpart with the US Forest Service has run across a lab that reports 0.05  $\mu$ g/L as their MDL using an

HG-AFS process(instrument?).

Are you familiar with the use of this instrument? If the regulatory limit is 5.0 ug/l can you think of any reason why such a low detection limit would be useful?

The attached email contains a link to the lab. Have you heard of these guys?

Any info is appreciated.

Matt Wilkening



Phone: 208/378-5760 Fax: 208/378-5744

email: wilkening.matt@epa.gov

---- Forwarded by Matt Wilkening/R10/USEPA/US on 04/27/2005 09:53 AM -----



Jeff Jones <jjones13@fs.fed.us> 04/27/2005 08:52 AM

To: jjones13@fs.fed.us, susan\_burch@R1.fws.gov, rclegg@deq.state.id.us, ccutler@shoshonebannocktribes.com, Dan Noble <Dan\_Noble@notes.fs.fed.us>, Dean\_Fox@blm.gov, Dave Tomten/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Darrel VandeWeg <dvandeweg@fs.fed.us>, Jeff Jones <jjones13@fs.fed.us>, "Arena, Sandi" <sandi\_arena@R1.fws.gov>, "Kauffman, Mary " <mkauffma@deq.state.id.us>, Jeff\_Cundick@blm.gov, Matt Wilkening/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathy Zirbser <kzirbser@fs.fed.us>, mmanderbach@fs.fed.us

cc:

Subject: low Se detection limits

I was recently told of this company that can analyze for Se and a suite of other contaminants with a Method Detection limit much lower than we are currently getting. They report  $0.05\mu g/L$  as their MDL using the method HG-AFS. I don't know this method, however, I've registered on their website to find out more. I haven't, at this point, thought about the advantages of a lower detection but it may be worthy of discussion. I was told this method is less expensive than our current list of methods. I can't verify that yet.

If anyone knows more about this company and their methods I would be interested in that information. I would also endorse a rigorous Qa/Qc assessment before we adopt their method. Might be something we can discuss during next months interagency call.

http://www.fgsdata.com/index.php?option=content&task=view&id=40&Itemid=39

Jeffrey L. Jones Minerals Management Specialist/CERCLA Program Manager Caribou-Targhee National Forest Soda Springs, Idaho 83276

e-mail: jjones13@fs.fed.us Phone number: 208-547-4356

Cell: (b)(6)